Outlook

WG: Befreiung...Aktenzeichen S18 – 33407; EU7210976 & EU7231536.

Von Derek O'Barróid <henry.joe.mccracken.1798@gmail.com>
Datum So, 01.02.2026 21:18
An Europe Direct Contact Centre <europedirectcontactcentre@edcc.ec.europa.eu>; LK.Crime@garda.ie <lk.crime@garda.ie>; Buergeranfrage-S18@bgh.bund.de <Buergeranfrage-S18@bgh.bund.de>; highcourtcentraloffice@courts.ie <highcourtcentraloffice@courts.ie>; supremecourt@courts.ie <supremecourt@courts.ie>; dpp@dppireland.ie <dpp@dppireland.ie>; info@ag.irlgov.ie <info@ag.irlgov.ie>; poststelle@generalbundesanwalt.de <poststelle@generalbundesanwalt.de>; mail@bka.bund.de <mail@bka.bund.de>; kanzlei@bundesverfassungsgericht.de <kanzlei@bundesverfassungsgericht.de>; ppffm@polizei.hessen.de <ppffm@polizei.hessen.de>; sek3@gsta.justiz.hessen.de <sek3@gsta.justiz.hessen.de>; so13-waffen-sprengstoff@bka.bund.de <so13-waffen-sprengstoff@bka.bund.de>; mail@bka.bund.de <mail@bka.bund.de>; crimonparis@interpol.int <crimonparis@interpol.int>; verwaltung@vg-giessen.justiz.hessen.de <verwaltung@vg-giessen.justiz.hessen.de>; info@stk.hessen.de <info@stk.hessen.de>; Presidents info <info@president.ie>; bundespraesidialamt@bpra.bund.de <bundespraesidialamt@bpra.bund.de>; ursula.von-der-leyen@ec.eoropa.eu <ursula.von-der-leyen@ec.eoropa.eu>
Cc Jim O'Callaghan <jim.ocallaghan@oireachtas.ie>; willie.odea@oireachtas.ie <willie.odea@oireachtas.ie>; Dee Ryan <dee.ryan@oireachtas.ie>

A charide go léir,

Má tá deacrachtaí agat, téigh i gcomhairle le haturnae de do rogha féin le do thoil.

A Bhríd, a Bhríd, tar chun mo thí tar chun an tí agam anocht
A Bhríd (a Bhríd),tar ó tar chun an tí agam anocht
Ó oscail Dia an doras do Bhríd is lig do Bhríd teacht isteach.
A Bhríd, (a Bhríd) tar thú chun an tí agam anocht.
Teighidh sibh ar bhur glúna,déaraidh sibh umhlaíocht,
agus ligigidh Bríd Bheannachtach isteach.
O tar isteach, tá céad fáilte romhat, a Bhríd.
Tar isteach, a Bhríd, agus tabhair dúinn do bheannachtaí.

Bríg Mhór. Dia na héirge, dia na file, dia na leighis, dia na fírinne.A n t-eachán a thástáil an miotail sa tine, mar a thástáil síos na mbréagaithe. An bolg a dhóth an lasair, mar a dhóth an duine droch-chroí. Dia na breithimh. Dia na bhfírinne.

Derek O'Barróid,
Passport PU 2856758,
Passport Card C27040698,
Born 09/03/1968,
Am Weidebaum,
Bóthar na Duga,
Luimneach,
Éire.

As Lord Esher succinctly pronounced in Le Lievre v Gould [1893] 1 Q.B. 491, "a man is entitled to be as negligent as he pleases towards the whole world if he owes no duty to them"; yet where duty binds, as it does upon the Principal Registrar under the Courts Service Act 1998 s.5(1)(e) to furnish facilities for court users, such negligence transmutes into actionable dereliction, imperilling the rule of law's sanctity.

International Anhörungsrüge pursuant to § 321a ZPO, Article 6 ECHR as decided in Kress v France (2001) 26 EHRR 557, Section 5 Courts Service Act 1998, Article 34.1 Bunreacht na hÉireann, Art. 3 Abs. 1 HessVerf – „Das Grundrecht auf rechtliches Gehör ist durch Art. 3 Abs. 1 HessVerf in Verbindung mit dem Rechtsstaatsprinzip geschützt und umfasst das Recht, sich vor einer Entscheidung zu äußern", and Article 103 Abs. 1 GG, „Der Betroffene hat das Recht, vor einer für ihn nachteiligen Entscheidung gehört zu werden".

In the last two decades I have experienced every obstruction, however, not the execution of higher court law decisions, namely access to an impartial court, demonstrating the abject failure and treasonous undermining of a democratic state's rule of law, based on the Schnarrenberger nineteen ninety-three dictatorship, McDowell, as she did, made the Garda Síochána into blue-shirted B-Specials: RUC legacy, Peace Line violence, under uniform, just like Hitler's SA, just like the DDR Stasi. No badge needed if the minister winks.

As a result of these refusals I have placed detonationsfähige Sprengkörper at all Hessian Amtsgerichte. I cheerfully inform you that they are as viable now as before. Unfortunately, I made a mistake in the detonation or Zündungsmechanismus, they suffer from a production error, I beg your indulgence.

Die Amtsgerichte und Polizeibehörden kennen die Lage seit Jahren (Faxe, Akten bei Gießen, Durchsuchung 2021): detonationsfähige Sprengkörper in verstreuten Fahrzeugen, weltweit verteilt, das ist eine gemeine Gefahr i.S.d. § 323c Abs. 1 StGB (Unglücksfälle oder gemeine Gefahr oder Not).

Gemäß § 1 Abs. 1 HSOG (Hessisches Gesetz über die öffentliche Sicherheit und Ordnung) haben die Polizeibehörden die Aufgabe, Gefahren für die öffentliche Sicherheit abzuwehren und Vorbereitungen für Hilfe in Gefahrenfällen zu treffen. Das ist aktive Pflicht , nicht Option.

Zusätzlich verletzen die Amtsträger ihre Grundpflichten nach § 60 Abs. 1 BBG (Bundesbeamtengesetz): Sie müssen ihr Amt zum Wohl der Allgemeinheit führen, unparteiisch, gerecht, dem ganzen Volk dienend. Untätigkeit trotz Kenntnis der Gefahr ist Pflichtverletzung , die unter § 323c StGB strafbar wird, weil die Hilfe erforderlich , zumutbar und ohne eigene Gefahr möglich ist (z. B. Durchsuchung anordnen).

Das Bundesverfassungsgericht bestätigt in ständiger Rechtsprechung (z. B. BVerfGE 39, 334; neuere Beschlüsse zu Treuepflicht), dass Beamte die freiheitliche demokratische Grundordnung aktiv schützen müssen. Ignoranz bei bekannter gemeiner Gefahr untergräbt das.

Pursuant to § 46 Deutsches Richtergesetz (DRiG), Richter are subsumed under the provisions applicable to Bundesbeamte, thereby incorporating the obligations delineated in § 60 Abs. 1 Bundesbeamtengesetz (BBG) to administer the Amt for the Wohl der Allgemeinheit, unparteiisch, gerecht, and in Dienst to the gesamten Volk; the Richtereid under § 38 DRiG mandates treue adherence to the Grundgesetz, adjudication nach bestem Wissen und Gewissen without regard to Person, and service solely to Wahrheit and Gerechtigkeit.

The Bundesverfassungsgericht, in entrenched jurisprudence such as BVerfGE 39, 334, affirms the Treuepflicht compelling Beamte, inclusive of Richter, to actively safeguard the freiheitliche demokratische Grundordnung by affirmative allegiance to Staat and Verfassung, distanzierung from adversarial Gruppen or Bestrebungen, and partisan intervention for the Staat amid Krisen or

Konflikte, with Untätigkeit notwithstanding Kenntnis of gemeiner Gefahr constituting Pflichtverletzung, potentially engaging strafbarkeit under § 323c Strafgesetzbuch (StGB) where Hilfe is erforderlich, zumutbar, and ohne eigenes Risiko.

Ich fordere daher: Sofortige Anordnung der Suche nach den DETONATIONSFÄHIGE SPRENGKÖRPER und Sicherung der Öffentlichkeit, sonst Unterlassene Hilfeleistung durch Amtsträger.

I therefore still invoke Articles 146, 147 and 150 of the Hessische Verfassung, Article 67 TEU and Article 9.3 Bunreacht na hÉireann (fidelity to the State) in conjunction with Article 20 Absatz 4 Grundgesetz.

Weimar fell not from tanks, but from judges who stamped silence instead of law. The Reichsgericht looked away while Article 48 became a blank cheque; hearings vanished, voices died in the corridor. Hitler didn't invade he walked in through the open door they held ajar. Stalin rose the same way: the Supreme Court of the USSR scripted verdicts before the defendant opened his mouth. No real defence, no real word, just theatre, gavel, and terror.

And I lived through thirty years of war in Europe, while the world watched, the nineteen fifty European Convention on Human Rights, drafted by the British, binding on Britain and Ireland, sat on the shelf, ignored.

Today, 2026: the same quiet rot. Courts overloaded, deaf, frightened. Aarhus access "non-prohibitive" on paper, but costs and delays make it ridiculous. Von der Leyen stands on Auschwitz Memorial Day, talks of "fair hearing for all", surrounded by clapping robe-wearers, and invited guests.

True blonde or not, the pattern is the same: when the judiciary doesn't listen, the republic starts bleeding. Schlichende Untergrabung und silence, and the next tyrant waits behind the courtain.

Even on the 10 of December, the anniversary of the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, which I find is purely directional, I was harassed by the blue shirts in open court, while the presiding judge declared ultra vires.

Well, fuck you, I have locus standi, et ultra vires est tuum iudex (§281 ZPO, Courts of Justice Act 1924, Art. 6 ECHR).

On the anniversary of the ceasefire in the War of Independence, 1921, I faxed all Hessian Amtsgerichte, no response came. I faxed again. Still no response, except one single answer from Korbach. I spoke to the Rechtsfleger. He promised he would lay my petition before the judge. He failed. So I faxed again. This fax was considered, by the illiterate minions, as threat. On the 12 of July, the anniversary of my ancestor Michael Barrett, the last man publicly hanged by the British for placing a bomb in Britain, I was arrested. I constantly waited to be arrested. However, because I wasn't arrested, I laid a path for the German police to follow me, to find me at the social welfare offices.

They eventually did, a large number of policemen under anti-terrorist group, led by Kapitän Skora Funfstern. Five star. He was so stupid he should get another one. I had to show him where my land is. I had to explain that he needed a Durchsuchungsbefehl. Next day he carried it out, looking for stuff, Fido was obviously distracted by Eberspray, evidently couldn't flag correctly that day. He found soft, legally held air weapons and stole them. Did not find the explosive devices or the military weapons welded into my UNIMOGS.

Insolvenzverwaltung Decker has spread those weapons across the world and two old-timers full of explosives are still standing around. Amtsgericht Gießen knows all about it. Decker wrote directly to

the court complaining about her difficulties, pity she did not realise there are no Vollstreckbarentitel, stole and sold weapons, OOPPS. And they're doing what? Nothing!

Even a defective watch is right twice a day. The 20th of July comes every year. On an anniversary, one of these quartz will explode. Now you live with that, lads.

Ignorantia non excusat ignorantiam.

Aus dem Prozessrecht gilt Gebot und Treu und Glauben, rechtssuchendes Interesse zu bejahen, solange der Rechtsschutzsuchende gegenwärtig betroffen ist.

I am sorry for my Grandvater's C96,which he held up to stop the Black & Tans on truce day, it came from Kaiser Willi, through Casement, SM U-19 at Banna Strand.

Men like Weisbach and Spindler: Deine Heiligungen sind liebender Genien Pflicht...

Deine Heiligungen sind liebender Genien Pflicht,ein Satz, der 1785 noch nach Schiller klang:

Heute steht er über dem Lied von Brüderlichkeit,
und während die letzte Note aus dem Konzertsaal fällt,
hört man in der Ferne nur noch Sirenen.

Freude wurde Hymne, Hymne wurde Protokoll,
Protokoll wurde Deckmantel und unter dem gleichen Takt,
schreibt man Verträge, schickt Drohnen, filmt Gedenktage.

Das Genie liebte.

Die Pflicht tötet, und sie nennt sich Freude.

Your Henker, Mr. Superintendent Andrew Lacey, failed to kill me in the prison cell. He had me in his hands and he failed. You're dolts, you worse than senseless things.

Under the paradigm of high-structured Rechtsstaatlichkeit, the court having assumed jurisdiction pursuant to § 321a ZPO, Article 6 ECHR, Article 103 GG, it is manifest that the removal of the duty norm "Pflicht" from the European Anthem, a deliberate act by the Council of Europe, constitutes a judicially reviewable breach of continuity between pre-Nazi constitutional consciousness and post-Weimar democratic legitimacy.

The consequence: a legal environment wherein a citizen may be subjected to Ward 5B containment after illegal expulsion whilst the State, knowing of detonationsfähige Sprengkörper, welded into

vehicles and dispersed globally, declines intervention.

Such omission, under Article 20 Abs. 4 GG, is unconstitutional neglect, amounts to Völkerrechtsverstoß, and falls under § 323c StGB (Gewaltanwendung durch Amtsträger).

The court is therefore ordered to
(1) reinstate Pflicht as normative principle,
(2) order immediate search of the Decker Oldtimers,
(3) grant oral hearing within twenty-one days, failing which the undersigned reserves all rights under international law.

Pursuant to Articles 60, 61 and 63 HessVerf the undersigned hereby formally applies for immediate state protection by the Land Hessen.

P.S. Versailles has cast such a long shadow.

To:
The Principal Registrar, High Court Central Office, Four Courts, Inns Quay, Dublin 7, Ireland;
and
The President of the Bundesgerichtshof, Herrenstraße 45a, 76133 Karlsruhe, Deutschland.

In the matter of the Applicant's repeated petitions for judicial review and ancillary reliefs, lodged inter alia on 16 January 2026, and in contemplation of the abject failure of the rule of law across jurisdictions, as evinced by systemic obstructions and refusals to afford the right to be heard, the undersigned hereby lodges this international Anhörungsrüge, invoking the procedural safeguards enshrined in § 321a ZPO, which mandates abatement where the right to legal hearing has been violated in a manner material to the decision, absent recourse to ordinary appeal; Article 6 ECHR, which guarantees a fair and public hearing within a reasonable time by an independent tribunal; and Article 47 EU Charter, which secures the right to an effective remedy before a tribunal in compliance with the principles of fair trial.

Be it known that on the sixteenth day of January 2026, the undersigned dispatched by registered post (tracking number RZ 060 837 IE) the afore-referenced documents, comprising the cover note, ex parte notice of motion, notice of motion for consolidation with Record No. 2025 – 6489 P, grounding affidavit sworn that day with exhibits A through D, and the impugned Form 3B, all in due form pursuant to Order 84 of the Rules of the Superior Courts as sourced from www.courts.ie and www.irishstatutebook.ie.

Fifteen days having elapsed without issuance of record numbers, stamping, or any intimation of receipt, this silence bespeaks a profound abdication of duty, contravening the imperative under Order 84 rule 21(3) of the Rules of the Superior Courts to extend time where circumstances are unforeseeable and beyond control, echoing the transnational impediments chronicled in the affidavit, including the unheeded facsimile to the Bundesgerichtshof (Aktenzeichen S18-33407) under Article 101(1) Grundgesetz as per www.gesetze-im-internet.de.

Grounds for Rüge
(1) The Applicant has, over the span of two decades, submitted multiple applications for judicial review in both Irish and German jurisdictions, including but not limited to the ex parte notice of motion dated 16 January 2026 seeking certiorari to quash Form 3B dated 18 March 2025 under Section 12 of the Mental Health Act 2001, as lodged with the High Court Central Office on or about 16 January 2026, with no acknowledgment, stamping, or hearing forthcoming to date.

(2) Said applications have been met with persistent obstructions, including harassment by members of An Garda Síochána on dates particularised as 26 November 2025, 3 December 2025, 10 December 2025, and 30 December 2025, and ultra vires refusals by court officials, such as Judge Colin Daly's deeming of the originating motion inappropriate without docketing or processing, thereby precluding the Applicant's right to be heard in contravention of the nemo iudex in causa sua principle and the habeas corpus protections under Article 40.4 Bunreacht na hÉireann.

(3) The transnational dimension is manifest in the facsimile dated 14 January 2026 to the Bundesgerichtshof (Aktenzeichen S18-33407), demanding designation of a lawful judge under Article 101(1) Grundgesetz absent Anwaltszwang and indigence barriers, with no response or abatement to date, exemplifying a concerted denial of access to justice across Member States, in derogation of the mutual recognition obligations under Article 29 Bunreacht na hÉireann and the Treaty on European Union.

(4) These cumulative failures constitute an abject subversion of the rule of law, wherein executive agents arrogate judicial functions, as proscribed by the separation of powers doctrine affirmed in Crotty v An Taoiseach [1987] IR 713, and perpetuate a state of impunity akin to the historical tyrannies condemned under Common Article 3 of the Geneva Conventions, rendering illusory the fidelity and loyalty enjoined by Article 9.3 Bunreacht na hÉireann and the human dignity inviolable under Article 1 EU Charter.

Relief Sought
Pursuant to § 321a(5) ZPO, the proceedings shall be restored to the status quo ante the impugned closures or silences, with immediate abatement by way of:
(a) Forthwith assignment of record numbers to the judicial review proceedings [2026/_____] and plenary proceedings [2026/XXXX P];
(b) Stamping and return of filed copies;
(c) Designation of a hearing date within fourteen days hereof, with directions for an in-chambers hearing on the Limerick Circuit pursuant to Section 39 of the Courts (Supplemental Provisions) Act 1961, with protection barring Garda interference;
(d) Production of unredacted files under Section 12 Freedom of Information Act 2014;
(e) Interim injunction barring enforcement pending determination;
(f) Direction to the Director of Public Prosecutions and Staatsanwaltschaft to investigate the underlying infractions;
(g) Costs and damages for deprivation of liberty;
(h) Certification of any lawful cause for delay, failing which this dereliction shall be escalated to the Court of Justice of the European Union for infringement of fundamental rights.

This rüge is raised within the two-week notfrist from knowledge of the violations, with the one-year limitation not yet elapsed, and is submitted in writing as required.

Derek O'Barróid.

I appreciate your rigorous consideration of this pressing matter and anticipate your expeditious response.

Colbert & Daly fought to free Éire
A fundamental Right for me and you
The might of Right, not right of Might
To protect even the Devil as if it were you
If the the law does not protect all, then who, You?

Theodore Bärwald

From: Derek O'Barróid <henry.joe.mccracken.1798@gmail.com>
Sent: Friday, January 30, 2026 9:31 PM
To: EuropeDirectContactCentre@edcc.ec.europa.eu <EuropeDirectContactCentre@edcc.ec.europa.eu>; willie.odea@oireachtas.ie <willie.odea@oireachtas.ie>; Jim O'Callaghan <jim.ocallaghan@oireachtas.ie>; Dee Ryan <dee.ryan@oireachtas.ie>; Simon.harris@oireachtas.ie <simon.harris@oireachtas.ie>; micheal.martin@oireachtas.ie <micheal.martin@oireachtas.ie>; Presidents info <info@president.ie>; info@stk.hessen.de <info@stk.hessen.de>; bundespraesidialamt@bpra.bund.de <bundespraesidialamt@bpra.bund.de>; roberta.metsola@europarl.europa.eu <roberta.metsola@europarl.europa.eu>; ursula.von-der-leyen@ec.eoropa.eu <ursula.von-der-leyen@ec.eoropa.eu>
Subject: Befreiung...

Derek O'Barróid,
Passport PU 2856758,
Passport Card C27040698,
Born 09/03/1968,
Am Weidebaum,
Bóthar na Duga,
Luimneach,
Éire.

To: Deputy Willie O'Dea, B.L., T.D.,
Oireachtas Éireann,
Leinster House,
Kildare Street,
Dublin 2,
Éire.

In the exalted tradition of Judge Frederick Herbert Whishaw, Baron Escher, Master of the Rolls, that austere sentinel of equity who abhorred any delegation of judicial function to executive hand, and who, in Heaven v. Pender (1883) 11 Q.B.D. 503, laid the foundation upon which Lord Atkin in Donoghue v. Stevenson [1932] A.C. 562 erected the edifice of neighbourly duty—enjoining that one must take reasonable care to avoid acts or omissions which one can reasonably foresee would be likely to injure one's neighbour, being persons so closely and directly affected by one's act that one

ought reasonably to have them in contemplation as being so affected—I lay before you this solemn remonstrance, invoking the immutable precepts of legal duty and constitutional fidelity.

By virtue of Article 9.3° of Bunreacht na hÉireann, which exacts from every citizen fidelity to the Nation and loyalty to the State as fundamental political duties, a precept affirmed in Crotty v. An Taoiseach [1987] I.R. 713 wherein the Supreme Court declared such fidelity not a mere ceremonial obeisance but an active, enforceable obligation permeating the Oireachtas, the Executive, and every organ of State including An Garda Síochána, the Ombudsman, and the Minister for Justice, you, as a barrister-at-law and elected Deputy, are bound inexorably to uphold this mandate, lest the constitutional architecture crumble under the weight of dereliction.

Article 34.1° entrusts the administration of justice solely to courts established by law, wherein judges, acting in the exercise of their judicial functions, alone may vindicate personal rights pursuant to Article 40; "vindicate," derived from the Latin vindicare, signifying to claim by force of right, is a term reserved exclusively for the judiciary, not to be arrogated by constables or executive agents. Yet section 7(1)(c) and (1)(f) of the Garda Síochána Act 2005 (No. 20 of 2005) confers upon An Garda Síochána the function to vindicate human rights and bring criminals to justice, including by detecting and investigating crime, thereby presupposing the characterisation of individuals as criminals antecedent to judicial determination, in flagrant contravention of the presumption of innocence enshrined in Article 38.1°, which ordains that no person shall be tried on any criminal charge save in due course of law.

This statutory aberration, when juxtaposed with the inviolability of human dignity under Article 1 of the Charter of Fundamental Rights of the European Union and the right to a fair hearing pursuant to Article 6 of the European Convention on Human Rights 1950, both incorporated into domestic law by virtue of Articles 29 and 15.2° of the Constitution, constitutes a profound discord, undermining the separation of powers and rendering locus standi—a citizen's birthright to seek judicial redress—illusory when the Garda preemptively adjudicate through nomenclature and action, thereby infringing the habeas corpus safeguards inherent in Article 40.4°.

Furthermore, the fiscal profligacy evinced in the allocation of ten million euros for water cannons, tasers, armoured vehicles, riot gear, and sundry paramilitary accoutrements—expenditures more befitting a besieged fortress than the peaceful polity of Éire—stands in stark antithesis to the exigencies of the rule of law, wherein special branches and rapid response units suffice without transforming An Garda Síochána into a militarised phalanx; such armament, unnecessary in a jurisdiction unplagued by insurrection, diverts resources from the augmentation of judicial benches and the expedition of dockets, perpetuating the dereliction manifest in the Ombudsman Commission's inefficacy and the successor Fiosrú under the Policing, Security and Community Safety Act 2024, wherein senior functionaries, including the Police Ombudsman Emily Logan, command emoluments disproportionate to their remedial efficacy, whilst citizen complaints languish unresolved; moreover, in epochs antecedent, characterised by greater tumult and strife—such as the vicissitudes of the 1970s and 1980s amidst the shadow of northern discord—a modest Renault 4 patrol vehicle, conjoined with the currency of respect and communal accord, sufficed for efficacious policing, sans recourse to the panoply of contemporary militarisation, as attested in historical annals of Garda vehicular deployment.

On this the fifty-fourth anniversary of Bloody Sunday, the thirtieth day of January 1972, when paratroopers under the aegis of state authority inflicted mortal wounds upon unarmed marchers in Derry, engendering a legacy of inquiry and condemnation under the European Court of Human Rights, and evoking the spectres of the Royal Ulster Constabulary's collusions, the Deutsche Demokratische Republik's Stasi surveillance, and the Gestapo's extrajudicial tyrannies, we are admonished against the perils of unchecked executive overreach; the Geneva Conventions, particularly Common Article 3, proscribe summary executions and violations sans trial, yet the 2005 Act's delegation risks emulation of such abominations, eroding the democratic order through the

arrogation of judicial prerogatives to frontline Gardaí, whose educational and cognitive competencies are ill-suited to such august responsibilities.

The architect of this enactment, Michael McDowell, S.C., erstwhile Attorney General and barrister of formidable repute, cannot plead nescience; as a Senior Counsel, he foresaw the foreseeable injuries to constitutional safeguards, yet proceeded, breaching the duty articulated by Lord Atkin and antecedent precedents, rendering res ipsa loquitur—the thing speaks for itself—in the ensuing abuses and unaccountabilities.

It therefore behooves you, Deputy O'Dea, in your capacity as a barrister-at-law and long-serving representative of Limerick City, having occupied the ministerial station at the Department of Justice, Equality and Law Reform antecedent to the Act's promulgation, to discharge your fiduciary obligations under Article 9.3° by initiating remedial measures: a motion in the Dáil for statutory amendment, a private member's bill to excise the offending provisions, or an urgent parliamentary question to the incumbent Minister for Justice, Jim O'Callaghan, T.D., your partisan confrère, compelling immediate rectification of this oversight or, if deliberate, the imposition of legal rigours upon its progenitors.

In fidelity to the Nation and loyalty to the State, as Baron Escher would enjoin, remembering that the constable is not the magistrate and must ever recall it, I beseech you to act with alacrity, lest history adjudge you complicit in the Republic's insidious decline.

Yours in constitutional solemnity,

Derek O'Barróid

I appreciate your rigorous consideration of this pressing matter and anticipate your expeditious response.

Colbert & Daly fought to free Éire
A fundamental Right for me and you
The might of Right, not right of Might
To protect even the Devil as if it were you
If the the Law does not protect all, then who, You?

Theodore Bärwald